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ABSTRACT. We show that for some densities, a bandwidth selection method of Chiu (1991) for kernel density estimates is not consistent. While the method shows promise for some densities, it should be used with caution.
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Introduction.

The purpose of this note is to give examples of densities for which one of Chiu’s (1991) bandwidth selectors is not consistent. We consider an i.i.d. sample $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ drawn from a univariate density $f$, and estimate $f$ by

$$f_{nh}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_h(x - X_i)$$

where $K$ is the kernel (a function integrating to one), $K_h(x) = (1/h)K(x/h)$, and $h > 0$ is the smoothing factor (Akaike, 1954; Parzen, 1962; Rosenblatt, 1956). The fundamental problem in kernel density estimation is that of the joint choice of $h$ and $K$ in the absence of a priori information regarding $f$. Theoretical studies going back to Watson and Leadbetter (1963) show that the choice of $h$ and $K$ should not be split into two independent subproblems. Also, the choice of $K$ largely depends upon the smoothness of $f$.

Watson and Leadbetter started from Parseval’s identity

$$E \int (f_{nh}(x) - f(x))^2 dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} E \int |\varphi_{nh}(t) - \varphi(t)|^2 dt ,$$

where $\varphi$ and $\varphi_{nh}$ are the Fourier transforms of $f$ and $f_{nh}$. Let $\psi$ be the Fourier transform of the function $K_h$ (note that $h$ is absorbed in this definition). Then the expected $L_2$ error given above is minimal for the choice

$$\psi(t) = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{n-1}{n^2} |\varphi|^2 .$$

With this choice, the minimal expected $L_2$ error reduces to

$$\int \frac{|\varphi|^2(1 - |\varphi|^2)}{1 + (n-1)|\varphi|^2} .$$

These fundamental results were at the basis of a number of fine results:

A. Bullock Davis (1975, 1977) looked at the rate of decrease of the expected $L_2$ error for various rates of decrease of $\varphi$, when $\psi(t) = \xi(th)$ for a fixed form $\xi$. Here one is not allowed to vary the form of the kernel with $n$. She looked in particular at the Fourier kernel $K(x) = \sin(x)/(\pi x)$, and showed it to be nearly optimal for many densities.

B. Bullock Davis (1977) proposed letting $h = 1/t$, where $t$ is the smallest $t$ for which the estimate of the optimal $|\varphi|^2$ equals $1/(n+1)$. For the Fourier kernel $\sin(x)/(\pi x)$, this estimate is

$$|\hat{\varphi}(t)|^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} \left( \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \cos(tX_i) \right)^2 + \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sin(tX_i) \right)^2 \right) - \frac{1}{n-1} .$$
Bloomom (1979) provides some encouraging experimental results with this estimate.

C. Wahba (1981) and Hall and Marron (1988) adaptively estimate parameters of the optimal Fourier transform of the kernel under certain tail conditions on the characteristic function of $f$. This would require knowledge of the underlying class of densities.

D. Cline (1988) uses the Watson-Leadbetter result to point out that the optimal Fourier transform always is symmetric and positive. As the Fourier transform (say, $\xi$) of the Epanechnikov kernel takes negative values, it can always be replaced by $\xi_+$, its positive truncation, for a strict improvement in the expected $L_2$ error.

E. Cline (1990) gives precise asymptotic analysis of the expected $L_2$ error based upon the Fourier transform approach.

Finally, based upon recent developments in data-based bandwidth selection, several methods have been proposed for picking the bandwidth that have their origin in the expressions given by Watson and Leadbetter. Chiu (1991) has a plug-in method that is based upon the empirical characteristic function

$$\varphi_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{i x_j t}.$$  

Let $\Lambda$ be the smallest positive $t$ such that $|\varphi_n(t)|^2 \leq 3/n$ (where the constant 3 is a design parameter; Chiu recommends any constant $>1$). Then use

$$h = \left( \frac{\int x^2 K^2}{C n (\int x^2 K)^2} \right)^{1/5},$$

where

$$C = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\Lambda} t^\Lambda (|\varphi_n(t)|^2 - 1/n) dt.$$  

This is related to a plug-in method suggested by Park and Marron (1990), in which $C$ is taken as

$$C = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{t}^2 (|\varphi_n(t)|^2 - 1/n) \psi^2(h't) dt,$$

and $h' = C's^{3/13}h^{10/13}$ is a pilot bandwidth, $C'$ is a function of $f$ (which in turn is estimated by the reference density approach) and $s$ is a given measure of the scale of $f$. This yields an implicit equation in $h$, which must be solved. The non-consistency dealt with in this paper is due to a problem that is endemic in most $L_2$-based cross-validation methods including such “solve-an-equation” schemes.
An inequality for empirical characteristic functions.

The literature on empirical characteristic functions contains many strong results (Csörgő, 1981; Marcus, 1981, Keller, 1988), but none of these really fits our needs, as we require an inequality for

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{|t| \leq \alpha} |\varphi(t) - \varphi_n(t)| > \beta \right\},$$

where $\beta$ and $\alpha$ depend upon $n$ in an arbitrary fashion. For $\beta$ near $1/\sqrt{n}$, the results of Csörgő (1981) are useful. For $\beta$ fixed, we are in large deviation territory (Keller, 1988). We believe that the following inequality is of independent general utility:

**Theorem 1.** Let $X$ be a random variable with characteristic function $\varphi$ and finite first moment, and let $\varphi_n$ be the empirical characteristic function based upon an i.i.d. sample of size $n$ drawn from $X$. Then, for $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$ possibly dependent upon $n$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{|t| \leq \alpha} |\varphi(t) - \varphi_n(t)| > \beta \right\} \leq 4 \left( 1 + \frac{8\alpha \mathbb{E}|X|}{\beta} \right) e^{-n\beta^2/72} + o(1),$$

where the $o(1)$ term is uniform over all $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

**Proof.** Define

$$\gamma = \frac{\beta}{4\mathbb{E}|X|}.$$

We find numbers $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_k$ with the property that $t_1 = -\alpha$, $t_k = \alpha$, $|t_i - t_{i+1}| \leq \gamma$. Clearly, we can assure this with $k \leq 1 + 2\alpha/\gamma$. We begin with

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{|t| \leq \alpha} |\varphi(t) - \varphi_n(t)| > \beta \right\} \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{|t-s| < \gamma} |\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)| > \beta/3 \right\}$$

$$\quad + \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{|t-s| < \gamma} |\varphi_n(t) - \varphi_n(s)| > \beta/3 \right\}$$

$$\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{P} \{|\varphi(t_i) - \varphi_n(t_i)| > \beta/3\}$$

$$\overset{\text{def}}{=} I + II + III.$$

Note that

$$|\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)| \leq \mathbb{E}|1 - e^{i(t-s)X}| \leq \mathbb{E}|(t-s)X| \leq \gamma \mathbb{E}|X| \leq \frac{\beta}{3}$$
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when \(|t - s| \leq \gamma\). Therefore, \(I \equiv 0\). Next, we let \(Y\) be the random variable that puts mass \(1/n\) at each of the \(X_i\)'s in the sample drawn from \(X\). Then

\[
|\varphi_n(t) - \varphi_n(s)| \leq \mathbb{E}[1 - e^{i(t-s)Y}]
\leq \mathbb{E}[(t-s)Y]
= |t-s| \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \right| .
\]

Therefore,

\[
\begin{align*}
II & \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \gamma \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \right| \geq \frac{\beta}{3} \right\} \\
& \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \right| \geq \frac{4\mathbb{E}|X|}{3} \right\} \\
& \to 0
\end{align*}
\]

by the law of large numbers. Finally, we let \(\zeta\) and \(\eta\) be the real and imaginary parts of the Fourier transform \(\varphi\). Let \(\zeta_n\) and \(\eta_n\) be the corresponding empirical functions. For example, \(\zeta_n(t) = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \cos(tX_i)\). Then, for fixed \(t_i\),

\[
\mathbb{P} \left\{ |\varphi(t_i) - \varphi_n(t_i)| > \beta/3 \right\} \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ |\zeta(t_i) - \zeta_n(t_i)| > \beta/6 \right\} + \mathbb{P} \left\{ |\eta(t_i) - \eta_n(t_i)| > \beta/6 \right\}
\leq 4e^{-n\beta^2/72}
\]

by Hoeffding’s inequality for bounded random variables (Hoeffding, 1963). This concludes the proof of Theorem 1. \(\Box\)

The main result.

In the Theorem below, we describe a simple class of densities for which Chiu’s method is non-consistent. No attempt was made to obtain a general result.

**Theorem 2.** Let \(f\) be a density with finite first moment, and with real unimodal characteristic function \(\varphi\) satisfying \(\varphi(t) \sim t^{-c}\) as \(t \to \infty\), where \(c < 1/2\) is a fixed constant. Then, if \(H\) is the bandwidth choice for Chiu’s method, we have

\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \int |f_{nH} - f| > 0 .
\]
AN EXAMPLE. The condition of the theorem is satisfied for the random variable \( X = Z - Z' \), where \( Z \) and \( Z' \) are i.i.d. gamma random variables with parameter \( a < 1/4 \) (note that \( \varphi(t) = 1/(1 + t^2)^a) \).

OTHER MODES OF CONVERGENCE. We can’t give an \( L_2 \) version of the Theorem, as \( \int f^2 = \infty \) for the densities under consideration. It should come as no surprise that it is precisely for these densities that problems occur, as the design of the method is \( L_2 \)-based. This raises the interesting question of whether we should test for the finiteness of \( \int f^2 \) before applying an \( L_2 \)-based bandwidth selector. Nevertheless, \( f_{nH} \) is undesirable by any standard as we will show that \( nH \to 0 \) in probability, so that we won’t even have pointwise convergence at any point.

OTHER BANDWIDTH SELECTORS. Chiu’s bandwidth selector shares its anomalous behavior with most of the \( L_2 \) cross-validation criteria. For example, a similar non-consistency was pointed out in Devroye (1989) for the original \( L_2 \) cross-validation method (Bowman, 1974; Rudemo, 1974). For a survey of other methods in this class, and for some fixes, see for example Jones and Kappenman (1992) or Marron (1988, 1989). Also, we have not considered Chiu’s stabilized method or one of its modifications (Chiu, 1992).

PROOF. If the constant \( C \) in Chiu’s method is such that \( C/n^4 \to \infty \) in probability, then \( nH \to 0 \) in probability as well. By necessary conditions for consistency (Devroye and Györfi, 1985), this implies that for any kernel,

\[
\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \int |f_{nH} - f| > 0.
\]

Define a constant \( z \) with

\[
\frac{1}{2} > z > \frac{4c}{5 - 2c}.
\]

Define \( \alpha \) as the solution of

\[
\varphi(t) = n^{-z}.
\]

Note in particular that as \( n \to \infty \), \( \alpha \sim n^{z/c} \). Define \( \beta = (1/2) \inf_{|t| \leq \alpha} |\varphi(t)| = (1/2)\varphi(\alpha) = (1/2)n^{-z} \). Let \( A_n \) be the event that

\[
\sup_{|t| < \alpha} |\varphi(t) - \varphi_n(t)| \leq \beta.
\]

If \( A_n \) holds, then for \( t \in [-\alpha, \alpha] \),

\[
|\varphi_n(t)| \geq |\varphi(t)| - \beta \geq \inf_{|t| \leq \alpha} |\varphi(t)| - \beta \geq \frac{1}{2}n^{-z}.
\]
For $n$ large enough, and $|t| \leq \alpha$, $\phi_n(t) > \sqrt{3/n}$, and thus $\Lambda \geq \alpha$. As on $[-\alpha, \alpha]$, under $A_n$, $\phi_n(t) \geq (1/2)\phi(t)$ and $1/n \leq (1/8)\phi^2(t)$, we see that

$$C \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\alpha t^4(\phi_n(\alpha)^2 - 1/n) \, dt$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\alpha t^4((1/4)\phi(\alpha)^2 - 1/n) \, dt$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\alpha t^4(1/8)\phi(\alpha)^2 \, dt$$

$$\geq \frac{\alpha^{5-2c}}{8(5-2c)\pi} \cdot \frac{n^{(z/c)(5-2c)}}{8(5-2c)\pi}.$$

By our choice of $z$ and $c$, the exponent of $n$ on the right-hand side is greater than 4. We conclude that if $P\{A_n\} \to 1$, then for any constant $M$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P \left\{ C \geq Mn^4 \right\} = 1,$$

or, equivalently, for any constant $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P \left\{ nH \leq \epsilon \right\} = 1.$$

To prove that $P\{A_n\} \to 1$, invoke Theorem 1. The constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ there are the same ones we introduced in the proof of Theorem 2. Note that $\beta = (1/2)n^{-z}$ with $z < 1/2$, and that $\alpha/\beta$ grows polynomially with $n$. Thus, $P\{A_n\} \to 1$ as required. \qed
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