## A NOTE ON FINDING CONVEX HULLS VIA MAXIMAL VECTORS

Luc DEVROYE

School of Computer Science, McGill University, Montreal, Canada H3C 3G1

Received 30 January 1979; revised version received 4 April 1980

Convex hulls, average-case analysis, expected time, random sets, maximal vectors

## 1. Introduction

The problem of finding the convex hull of n points has received widespread attention in the past decade. In particular, if  $X_1, ..., X_n$  are independent identically distributed random vectors from  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with common density f, the following questions were investigated: if C is the complexity of the convex hull algorithm for  $X_1, ..., X_n$  (thus, C is a random variable), then how do ess sup C (the 'worst-case complexity') and E(C) (the 'average complexity') increase with n for particular densities f?

There are algorithms that have worst-case complexity  $O(n \log n)$  for all densities f [1,5,10,11] on R<sup>2</sup>. The algorithms of Jarvis [6] and Eddy [4] have worst-case complexity  $O(n^2)$ .

Recently, several algorithms were shown to exhibit linear average complexities (E(C) = O(n)) for certain classes of densities on  $R^2$ :

(1) The 'divide and conquer' method of Bentley and Shamos does so whenever E(N), the expected number of points on the convex hull, satisfies  $E(N) = O(n^p)$ , p < 1. The latter condition is fulfilled, for example, when f is the uniform density on a convex r-gon [9] or when f is normal [8].

(2) The elimination method of Toussaint [11] is known to do so for uniform densities on the unit square, and for all radial densities with a monotone and slow-varying tail [3].

(3) The recent method of Bentley et al. [2] that is based upon first finding the set of maximal vectors, has E(C) = O(n) whenever f can be written as a d-fold product of densities:

$$f(x_1, ..., x_d) = \prod_{i=1}^d f_i(x_i).$$
 (1)

This is true, e.g., for the normal density.

The purpose of this paper is to show (3) and to obtain a few additional results on the distribution of M, the number of maximal vectors.

We say that a vector  $x_1$  is maximal among  $(x_1, ..., x_n)$  when none of the other vectors dominates it in every component. In other words, the positive quadrant centered at  $x_1$  has no other point in it. In fact, one can define for each quadrant,  $1 \le i \le 2^d$ :

M(i) = number of maximal vectorsfor i<sup>th</sup> quadrant among X<sub>1</sub>, ..., X<sub>n</sub>.

It is clear that when f satisfies (1), the average number of maximal vectors taken from all quadrants does not exceed

$$E(\Sigma_i M(i)) = 2^d E(M)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

and

$$E((\Sigma_{i}M(i))^{p}) \leq E(\Sigma_{i} 2^{d(p-1)} M^{p}(i))$$
  
= 2<sup>dp</sup> E(M<sup>p</sup>), p \ge 1. (3)

In (2) and (3) we use M for M(1), the number of maximal vectors in the first quadrant.

By Theorem 3 of [2] we can find all the maximal vectors among  $X_1, ..., X_n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  in expected time O(n) when f satisfies (1). If one uses a convex hull a gorithm

with worst-case complexity  $O(n^p)$ ,  $p \ge 1$ , on the set of all maximal vectors (there are at most  $\Sigma_i M(i)$  of them), then the overall average complexity of the convex hull procedure is

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{C}) \leq \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{E}\{\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{p}}\},\tag{4}$$

where k<sub>1</sub>, k<sub>2</sub> are constants possibly depending upon p and d, but not on n.

Here we show the following:

**Theorem 1.** If (1) holds, then, for every p > 1, there exists g(p) > 0 with

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{p}}) \leq \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{p}) \left( \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{M}) \right)^{\mathbf{p}},\tag{5}$$

where  $g(p) = (1 + \lceil p \rceil^2 + \dots + \lceil p \rceil \lceil p \rceil)^{p/\lceil p \rceil}$  and  $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ is the ceiling function.

Of course, by Jensen's inequality, it is always true that

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{p}}) \ge (\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{M}))^{\mathbf{p}},\tag{6}$$

and this, together with (5) shows the closeness of  $E(M^{p})$  to  $(E(M))^{p}$ . We also show

Theorem 2. If (1) holds, then

$$\frac{\underline{E(M)}}{(\log(n))^{d-1}} \xrightarrow{n} 1.$$
(7)

More precisely,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{n} \end{pmatrix} \left( 1 - \frac{\log \log n}{\log n} \right) \frac{(\log n)^{d-1}}{(d-1)!} \le$$

$$\leq E(M) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \frac{(\log n)^i}{i!}$$

$$\leq \frac{(\log n)^{d-1}}{(d-1)!} + e(\log n)^{d-2} .$$

$$(8)$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is entirely probability theoretical, and the result (7) is not obtainable from the combinatorial inequalities of Bentley [2]. From (4), (5) and (7) we have without calculation:

Theorem 3. If (1) holds, then the algorithm which uses the method of [2] to find the maximal vectors, and then uses a worst-case  $O(n^p)$  ( $p \ge 1$ ) algorithm to find the convex hull among these points, has average complexity O(n).

Note. In Theorem 3, p and d are arbitrary. Actually, it is known that a worst-case  $O(n^{d+1})$  algorithm always exists for any d.

## 2. Proofs

In view of (1), we can and do assume that  $X_1, ..., X_n$ are independent and uniformly distributed in  $[0, 1]^d$ . Also, we will write  $X_i = (X_{i1}, ..., X_{id})$  when we need the individual components of X<sub>i</sub>.

Clearly,

 $E(M) = nP(X_1 \text{ is a maximal vector})$ 

-----

$$= n E((1 - (1 - X_{11}) \cdots (1 - X_{1d}))^{n-1})$$
  
=  $n E((1 - X_{11}X_{12} \cdots X_{1d})^{n-1})$   
=  $E\left(\frac{1}{X_{12} \cdots X_{1d}} (1 - (1 - X_{12} \cdots X_{1d})^n)\right), (9)$ 

where we have used the integral  $\int_0^1 (1 - za)^{n-1} dz =$  $(1 - (1 - a)^n)/na$  with  $a = X_{12} \cdots X_{1d}, z = X_{11}$ . From (9) it is clear that E(M) increases with n.

Proof of Theorem 1. We show Theorem 1 for n even and p = 2. The other cases follow trivially. Let M' be the number of maximal vectors among  $X_1, ..., X_{n/2}$ . Then, if I is the indicator function,

$$E(M^{2}) = E((\Sigma_{i}I_{[X_{i} is a maximal vector]})^{2})$$
  

$$= \Sigma_{i,j}P(X_{i} is a maximal vector, X_{j} is a maximal vector)$$
  

$$= \Sigma_{i}P(X_{i} is a maximal vector)$$
  

$$+ n(n - 1) P(X_{1} and X_{2} are maximal vectors)$$
  

$$= E(M) + n(n - 1) P(X_{1} and X_{2} are maximal vectors)$$
  

$$\leq (E(M))^{2} + nP(X_{1} maximal vector among Arbor and Arbor among Arbor$$

$$\leq (E(M))^2 + nP(X_1 \text{ maximal vector among} X_1, X_3, X_5, ...)$$

$$\times$$
 (n - 1) P(X<sub>2</sub> maximal vector among  
X<sub>2</sub>, X<sub>4</sub>, ...)

Volume 11, number 1

$$\leq (E(M))^2 + (2 E(M'))^2$$
  
 $\leq (E(M))^2 + 4(E(M))^2$   
= 5 (E(M))<sup>2</sup>.

For p integer, the proof is analogous. Let M' be the number of maximal vectors among  $X_1, ..., X_{n/p}$ , where we assume that n is a multiple of p. It is easy to obtain the inequality

$$E(M^{p}) \leq E(M) + (p E(M'))^{2} + (p E(M'))^{3} + \dots + (p E(M'))^{p} \leq (E(M))^{p} (1 + p^{2} + p^{3} + \dots + p^{p}).$$

For p not integer, we have **Г** 7

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{p}}) &\leq (\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{M}^{\lceil \mathbf{p} \rceil}))^{\mathbf{p}/\lceil \mathbf{p} \rceil} \\ &\leq [(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{M}))^{\lceil \mathbf{p} \rceil}(1 + \lceil \mathbf{p} \rceil^2 + \lceil \mathbf{p} \rceil^3 + \cdots \\ &+ \lceil \mathbf{p} \rceil \lceil \mathbf{p} \rceil)]^{\mathbf{p}/\lceil \mathbf{p} \rceil} \\ &= (\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{M}))^{\mathbf{p}} g(\mathbf{p}) \,. \end{split}$$

- - -

**Proof of Theorem 2.** The density of  $Y = X_{12} \cdots X_{1d}$  is

h(y) = 
$$\frac{1}{(d-2)!} \left( \log \frac{1}{y} \right)^{d-2}$$
, 0 < y < 1. (10)

To see this, use the facts that  $-\log X_{12}$  is exponentially distributed, that the sum of (d - 1) independent exponential random variables is gamma (d - 1), and proceed as follows:

$$P{Y \le y} = P{-\log X_{12} - \log X_{13} - \dots - \log X_{1d}$$
$$\ge -\log y}$$
$$= \int_{-\log y}^{\infty} \frac{u^{d-2}}{(d-2)!} e^{-u} du.$$

Next, use the transformation  $u = \log z$ , du = (-1/z) dz. With (10), we can rewrite (9) as

$$E(M) = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{y} \left( \log \frac{1}{y} \right)^{d-2} \frac{1}{(d-2)!} \left( 1 - (1-y)^{n} \right) dy.$$
(11)

To find an upper-bound for this, we have

$$E(M) \leq \int_{1/n}^{1} \frac{1}{y} \left( \log \frac{1}{y} \right)^{d-2} \frac{1}{(d-2)!} dy$$

+ n 
$$\int_{0}^{1/n} \left( \log \frac{1}{y} \right)^{d-2} \frac{1}{(d-2)!} dy$$

because  $(1 - y)^n \ge 1 - ny$ . Since, by partial integration,

$$(d-1)\int_{\beta}^{1}\frac{1}{p}\left(\log\frac{1}{y}\right)^{d-2}dy = \left(\log\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{d-1},$$

the first of these terms is equal to  $(\log n)^{d-1}/(d-1)!$ The second one is equal to

 $1 + \log n/1! + \dots + (\log n)^{d-2}/(d-2)!$ 

in view of the recursive relation

$$\int_{0}^{1/n} \left( \log \frac{1}{y} \right)^{d-2} \frac{1}{(d-2)!} \, dy =$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (\log n)^{d-2} \frac{1}{(d-2)!} + \int_{0}^{1/n} \left( \log \frac{1}{y} \right)^{d-3} \frac{1}{(d-3)!} \, dy, \quad d \ge 1.$$

Therefore,

$$E(M) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \frac{(\log n)^i}{i!} \leq \frac{(\log n)^{d-1}}{(d-1)!} + e(\log n)^{d-2}.$$
 (12)

.

Furthermore,

$$E(M) \ge \int_{\alpha/n}^{1} (1 - e^{-\alpha}) \frac{1}{y} \left( \log \frac{1}{y} \right)^{d-2} \frac{1}{(d-2)!} dy$$
$$= \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha}) \left( \log \frac{n}{\alpha} \right)^{d-1}}{(d-1)!}$$
(13)

for arbitrary  $\alpha \in (0, n)$ . Picking  $\alpha = \log n$  shows that

$$\frac{\frac{E(M)}{(\log n)^{d-1}}}{(d-1)!} \ge \left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \left(1-\frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right)^{d-1} \xrightarrow{n} 1.$$

Also, from (12),

$$\frac{\underline{\mathrm{E}}(\mathrm{M})}{(\log n)^{\mathrm{d}-1}} \leq 1 + \frac{\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{d}-1)!}{\log n} \xrightarrow{n} 1,$$

concluding the proof of Theorem 2.

## References

- J.L. Bentley and M. Shamos, Divide and conquer for linear expected time, Information Processing Lett. 7 (1978) 87-91.
- [2] J.L. Bentley, H.T. Kung, M. Schkolnick and C.D. Thompson, On the average number of maxima in a set of vectors and applications, J. ACM 25 (1978) 536-543.
- [3] L.P. Devroye and G.T. Toussaint, Elimination algorithms for finding convex hulls in linear expected running time with radial densities, Manuscript, McGill University (1978).
- W.F. Eddy, A new convex hull algorithm for planar sets, ACM Trans. Math. Software 3 (1977) 398-403, 411-412.
- [5] R.L. Graham, An efficient algorithm for determining the convex hull of a planar set, Information Processing Lett. 1 (1972) 132-133.

- [6] R.A. Jarvis, On the identification of the convex hull of a finite set of points in the plane, Information Processing Lett. 2 (1973) 18-21.
- [7] F.P. Preparata and S.J. Hong, Convex hulls of finite sets of points in two and three dimensions, Comm. ACM 20 (1977) 87-93.
- [8] H. Raynaud, Sur l'enveloppe convexe des nuages des points aléatoires dans R<sub>n</sub>, I, J. Appl. Probability 7 (1970) 35-48.
- [9] A. Renyi and R. Sulanke, Zufällige konvexe Polygone in einem Ringebeit, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 9 (1968) 146-157.
- [10] M.I. Shamos, Geometric complexity, Proc. 7th Annual ACM Symposium on Automata and Computability Theory (1975) 224-233.
- [11] G.T. Toussaint, S.G. Akl and L.P. Devroye, Efficient convex hull algorithms for points in two and more dimensions, Technical Report. No. SOCS 78.5, McGill University (1978).

÷