

A Probabilistic Analysis of the Height of Tries and of the Complexity of Triesort*

Luc Devroye

School of Computer Science, McGill University, 805 Sherbrooke Street West, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2K6

Summary. We consider binary tries formed by using the binary fractional expansions of X_1, \dots, X_n , a sequence of independent random variables with common density f on $[0, 1]$. For H_n , the height of the trie, we show that either $E(H_n) \sim 2 \log_2 n$ or $E(H_n) = \infty$ for all $n \geq 2$ according to whether $\int f^2(x) dx$ is finite or infinite. Thus, the average height is asymptotically twice the average depth (which is $\sim \log_2 n$ when $\int f^2(x) dx < \infty$). The asymptotic distribution of H_n is derived as well.

If f is square integrable, then the average number of bit comparisons in triesort is $n \log_2 n + O(n)$, and the average number of nodes in the trie is $O(n)$.

1. Introduction

Tries were introduced by Fredkin in 1960. In its simplest (binary) form, a trie is a binary tree used to store data X_1, \dots, X_n in the following manner: each X_i , considered as a countable string of 0's and 1's, defines an infinite path in the binary tree ("0" indicates a left turn, "1" a right turn); the trie defined by X_1, \dots, X_n is the smallest binary tree T for which the paths truncated at the leaves of T are all pairwise different. The X_i 's are then associated with the leaves of T .

In a trie, the following quantities are of interest:

- 1) D_{ni} : the depth of X_i (distance from the root to the leaf corresponding to X_i in the trie formed by X_1, \dots, X_n);
- 2) A_n : $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n D_{ni}$, the *average depth*;
- 3) H_n : $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} D_{ni}$, the *height*.

The distribution of D_{ni} , A_n and H_n depends upon the distribution of X_1, \dots, X_n . We assume throughout that the X_i 's are *independent identically distributed*

* Research of the author was supported in part by FCAC Grant EQ-1678

random variables with density f on $[0, 1]$. Clearly, the countable string of 0's and 1's that we need for X_i is the binary fractional expansion of X_i . Under this assumption, the following is known:

Theorem 1 (Devroye, 1982). *Either*

$$E(A_n) = \infty \quad \text{for all } n \geq 2$$

or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(A_n)/\log_2 n = 1$$

according to whether $\int f^2(x) dx = \infty$ or $\int f^2(x) dx < \infty$.

Theorem 2 (Yao, 1980). *If f is the uniform density on $[0, 1]$, then there exist constants c_1, c_2 such that*

$$0 < c_1 \leq E(H_n)/\log_2 n \leq c_2 < \infty.$$

Strictly speaking, Yao (1980) showed Theorem 2 only when the number of X_i 's is N , a Poisson random variable with mean n , but the "de-Poissonization" step is simple. Regnier (1982) improved Theorem 2, also under the Poisson model and for f uniform, and showed that $E(H_n) \sim 2 \log_2 n$. Flajolet and Steyaert (1982) considered our model with f uniform, and obtained a few terms in the asymptotic expansion of $E(H_n) - 2 \log_2 n$.

Theorem 1 implies that only one of two possible situations can occur: either tries are asymptotically optimal (i.e., $E(A_n)/\log_2 n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$) or they are disastrous (i.e., $E(A_n) = \infty$ for all $n \geq 2$), according to whether the density f is in L_2 or not. Implicitly, Theorem 1 characterizes the L_2 densities: f is in L_2 if and only if the expected length of the largest common left substring of X_1 and X_2 is finite. Yao's result about $E(H_n)$ for the uniform density is extendible to all densities in L_2 , as we will see below. In fact, we will show that for all densities in L_2 , $E(H_n) \sim 2 \log_2 n$; in other words, the average height is approximately twice the average depth. The machinery used to obtain this result (a combination of a Poissonization argument and the Lebesgue density theorem) is strong enough to allow us to obtain much finer results such as the asymptotic distribution of H_n . All of these results are now stated.

Theorem 3 [Asymptotic distribution of H_n]. *If $\int f^2(x) dx < \infty$ and $\alpha = n^2 \int f^2(x) dx/2$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |P(H_n \leq \log_2 \alpha + x) - \exp(-\alpha/2^{\text{int}(\log_2 \alpha + x)})| = 0, \quad \text{all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(Here $\text{int}(\cdot)$ denotes the integer part of (\cdot) .)

Theorem 4 [Expected height]. *Let $\int f^2(x) dx < \infty$, and let γ be Euler's constant. Then*

$$-1 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(H_n) - (\ln \alpha + \gamma)/\ln 2 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(H_n) - (\ln \alpha + \gamma)/\ln 2 \leq 1.$$

If $\int f^2(x) dx = \infty$, then $E(H_n) = \infty$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Theorems 3 and 4 qualify how close H_n is to $2 \log_2 n$. In Theorem 3, we show that the distribution of $H_n - 2 \log_2 n - \log_2(\frac{1}{2} \int f^2(x) dx)$ is close to a suitably discretized version of the extreme-value distribution $\exp(-\exp(-x))$ (Johnson and Kotz, 1970, pp. 272-295). One of the corollaries of Theorem 4 is that

$$E(H_n) \sim 2 \log_2 n \quad (1)$$

for all f in L_2 . The integral of f^2 influences the values of H_n only in the constant term.

As a by-product of some of the Lemmas proved in Section 2, we will analyze the complexity of triesort for all densities f on $[0, 1]$ in Section 3. We use the terminology "trie search" for searching for an element in a trie, and "triesort" for sorting by first constructing a trie and then traversing the trie in preorder. Other terms have been used in the literature such as digital tree search and radix sort.

2. Proofs

Lemma 1 [A density theorem]. *Let f be a nonnegative integrable function on $[0, 1]$, and let A_{ni} be the set of all x in $[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n})$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{A_{ni}} f(x) dx \right)^2 = \int_0^1 f^2(x) dx.$$

Proof. By Jensen's inequality,

$$n \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{A_{ni}} f(x) dx \right)^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{A_{ni}} f^2(x) dx = \int_0^1 f^2(x) dx. \quad (2)$$

For the lower bound corresponding to (2), we will need the Lebesgue density theorem (Wheeden and Zygmund, 1977) in the following form: let

$$f_n^*(x) = \inf_{0 < r \leq 1/n} \min \left(r^{-1} \int_x^{x+r} f(y) dy, r^{-1} \int_{x-r}^x f(y) dy \right);$$

then, if $0 \leq f$, $\int f(x) dx < \infty$, $f_n^*(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for almost all x as $n \rightarrow \infty$; in particular, $f(x) \geq f_n^*(x)$ for almost all x . We have for almost all $x \in A_{ni}$: $(\int_{A_{ni}} f(x) dx)^2 \geq f_n^{*2}(x)/n^2$, and thus, by Fatou's Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{A_{ni}} f(x) dx \right)^2 &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{i=1}^n n^{-1} \int_{A_{ni}} f_n^{*2}(x) dx \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f_n^{*2}(x) dx \geq \int_0^1 \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n^{*2}(x) dx = \int_0^1 f^2(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2 [Poissonization inequalities]. *Let n be an integer, and let n_1, n_2 be real numbers such that $0 < n_1 < n < n_2 < \infty$. Let $A_i = [(i-1)/2^l, i/2^l)$ where l is an*

integer and $1 \leq i \leq 2^l$. Let $p_i = \int_{A_i} f(x) dx$. If $N(\lambda)$ is a Poisson random variable with parameter λ , then

$$P(H_n \leq l) \leq P(N(n_1) \geq n) + \prod_{i=1}^{2^l} (1 + n_1 p_i) e^{-n_1 p_i}$$

and

$$P(H_n \leq l) \geq \prod_{i=1}^{2^l} (1 + n_2 p_i) e^{-n_2 p_i} - P(N(n_2) \leq n).$$

Proof. For integer k , we let $B_l(k)$ be the event that none of the sets A_i , $1 \leq i \leq 2^l$ have more than one of the points X_1, \dots, X_k . It is clear that the events $B_l(n)$ and $[H_n \leq l]$ are equivalent. We thus have the following implications between events:

$$\begin{aligned} [H_n \leq l] &= B_l(n); \\ [H_n \leq l] &\subseteq [N(n_1) \geq n] \cup B_l(N(n_1)); \\ B_l(N(n_2)) &\subseteq [H_n \leq l] \cup [N(n_2) \leq n]. \end{aligned}$$

Also, by a property of the Poisson distribution, for all $\lambda > 0$,

$$P(B_l(N(\lambda))) = \prod_{i=1}^{2^l} (1 + \lambda p_i) e^{-\lambda p_i}.$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.

Lemma 3 [Exponential inequalities]. For all $x \geq 0$,

$$e^{-x^2/2} \leq (1+x) e^{-x} \leq e^{-x^2/2(1+x)}.$$

Proof. Note that

$$(1+x)(\log(1+x) - x) = \left(-2 + \frac{1}{1+\xi}\right) \frac{x^2}{2} \leq -\frac{x^2}{2}, \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq x,$$

and that

$$\log(1+x) - x = -\frac{1}{(1+\xi)^2} \frac{x^2}{2} \geq -\frac{x^2}{2}, \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq x.$$

Lemma 4 [Tail of the Poisson distribution]. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $n_1 = n(1 - \varepsilon)$, $n_2 = n(1 + \varepsilon)$. Then, if $N(\lambda)$ is a Poisson random variable with parameter λ ,

$$P(N(n_2) \leq n) \leq e^{-n\varepsilon^2/4}$$

and

$$P(N(n_1) \geq n) \leq e^{-n\varepsilon^2/2}.$$

Proof. If X is gamma (n) distributed, then, by inequalities for the tail of the gamma distribution (Devroye, 1981)

$$P(N(n_2) \leq n) = P(X \geq n_2) = P(X - n \geq n\varepsilon) \leq e^{-n\varepsilon^2(1-\varepsilon)/2}$$

and

$$P(N(n_1) \geq n) = P(X \leq n_1) = P(X - n \leq -n\varepsilon) \leq e^{-n\varepsilon^2/2}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3. Let ε , n_1 and n_2 be as in Lemma 4. Combining Lemmas 2, 3 and 4 gives for integer l ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(H_n \leq l) &\geq \prod_{i=1}^{2^l} \exp(-(n_2 p_i)^2/2) - \exp(-n\varepsilon^2/4) \\ &\geq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}n_2^2 2^{-l} \int_0^1 f^2(x) dx\right) - \exp(-n\varepsilon^2/4) \quad (\text{by (2)}) \\ &= \exp(-\alpha(1+\varepsilon)^2/2^l) - \exp(-n\varepsilon^2/4). \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} P(H_n \leq \log_2 \alpha + x) &= P(H_n \leq \text{int}(\log_2 \alpha + x)) \\ &\geq \exp(-\alpha(1+\varepsilon)^2/2^{\text{int}(\log_2 \alpha + x)}) - o(1) \\ &\geq \exp(-\alpha/2^{\text{int}(\log_2 \alpha + x)}) \cdot \exp(-2(2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)/2^x) - o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and the right hand side of (3) is arbitrarily close to $\exp(-\alpha/2^{\text{int}(\log_2 \alpha + x)})$ by the choice of ε .

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} P(H_n \leq l) &\leq \exp(-n\varepsilon^2/2) + \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{2^l} (n_1 p_i)^2/2(1+n_1 p_i)\right) \\ &\leq \exp(-n\varepsilon^2/2) + \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{2^l} (n_1 p_i)^2/2(1+\varepsilon)\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2^l} (n_1 p_i)^2 I_{[n_1 p_i > \varepsilon]}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where I is the indicator function of an event. By Lemma 1,

$$2^l \sum_{i=1}^{2^l} p_i^2 = \int_0^1 f^2(x) dx + o(1) \quad \text{as } l \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} P(H_n \leq l) &\leq \exp(-n\varepsilon^2/2) \\ &\quad + \exp\left(-\alpha \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2}{1+\varepsilon} 2^{-l}(1+o(1))\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2^l} (n_1 p_i)^2 I_{[n_1 p_i > \varepsilon]}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We can argue as for (3), and thus make $P(H_n \leq \log_2 \alpha + x)$ arbitrarily close to $\exp(-\alpha/2^{\text{int}(\log_2 \alpha + x)})$ for all n large enough by choice of ε , if we can show that for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^l} (n_1 p_i)^2 I_{[n_1 p_i > \varepsilon]} = o(1). \quad (5)$$

If f^* is the maximal function corresponding to f (Wheeden and Zygmund, 1977, pp. 105), then $n_1 p_i \leq 2n f^*(x)/2^l$ for all $x \in A_i$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^{2^l} (n_1 p_i)^2 I_{[n_1 p_i > \varepsilon]} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{2^l} 2^l \int_{A_i} (2n f^*/2^l)^2 I_{[2n f^*/2^l > \varepsilon]} \\ &= \left(\frac{4n^2}{2^l}\right) \int f^{*2} I_{[f^* > \varepsilon 2^{l-1}/n]} \\ &= o(1) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

when $l = \text{int}(\log_2 \alpha + x)$. Here we used the fact that $n^2/2^l$ remains bounded, that $2^l/n \rightarrow \infty$ and that $\int f^{*2} < \infty$ when $\int f^2 < \infty$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 4. In this proof, we will repeatedly use the fact that a random variable with distribution function $\exp(-\exp(-x))$ has mean γ (Johnson and Kotz, 1970), i.e.

$$\int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-e^{-x}}) dx - \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-e^{-x}} dx = \gamma.$$

From the first chain of inequalities in the Proof of Theorem 3, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} E(H_n) &= \sum_{l=0}^\infty P(H_n > l) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} P(H_n > l) \\ &\leq n \exp(-n\varepsilon^2/4) + \sum_{l=0}^\infty (1 - \exp(-\alpha(1+\varepsilon)^2/2^l)) \\ &\leq o(1) + \int_{-1}^\infty (1 - \exp(-\alpha(1+\varepsilon)^2 \exp(-t \ln 2))) dt \\ &= o(1) + \int_{-\ln 2 - \ln \alpha(1+\varepsilon)^2}^\infty (1 - e^{-e^{-u}}) \frac{du}{\ln 2} \\ &= o(1) + 1 + (\gamma + \ln(\alpha(1+\varepsilon)^2))/\ln 2. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the limit supremum half of Theorem 4, because ε can be chosen arbitrarily small.

For the other half of Theorem 4, we use Fatou's lemma and a tail estimate. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(H_n) - \log_2 \alpha \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_0^\infty P(H_n - \log_2 \alpha > t) dt - \int_{-\infty}^0 P(H_n - \log_2 \alpha < t) dt \right] \\ &\geq \int_0^\infty \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(H_n - \log_2 \alpha > t) dt - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^0 P(H_n - \log_2 \alpha < t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} P(H_n - \log_2 \alpha > t) &\geq 1 - \exp(-\alpha/2^{\text{int}(\log_2 \alpha + t)}) + o(1) \\ &\geq 1 - \exp(-2^{-t}) + o(1) \sim \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-e^{-u}}) du / \ln 2. \end{aligned}$$

Also, as we will show,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^0 P(H_n - \log_2 \alpha < t) dt \leq o(1) + \int_{-\infty}^0 \exp(-\frac{1}{2} 2^{-t}) dt \cdot (1 + o(1)) \\ &\sim \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-e^{-u}} du + \int_0^{\ln 2} e^{-e^{-u}} du \right) / \ln 2 \\ &\leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-e^{-u}} du + \ln 2 \right) / \ln 2. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

A combination of these bounds shows that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (E(H_n) - \log_2 \alpha) \geq \frac{\gamma}{\ln 2} - 1.$$

We need show the first inequality in (7). Since $H_n < n$, we see that the integration interval can be taken as $[-n, 0]$ without loss of generality. Let $\beta = \frac{1}{3} \log_2 \alpha$. Let ε be an arbitrary positive number smaller than 1. Then, clearly, by (4),

$$\begin{aligned} P(H_n - \log_2 \alpha < t) &\leq P(H_n \leq \overline{\log_2 \alpha + t}) \\ &\leq \exp(-n\varepsilon^2/2) + \exp\left(-\alpha \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2}{1+\varepsilon} 2^{-\overline{\log_2 \alpha + t}} (1-\varepsilon)\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq -\frac{1}{2} \log_2 \alpha + A$, where A is a positive number depending upon ε and f only (this requires a careful verification of the $o(1)$ terms in (4) and (6)). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^0 P(H_n - \log_2 \alpha < t) dt \\ &\leq n \exp(-n\varepsilon^2/2) + \int_{-\infty}^0 \exp\left(-\alpha \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^3}{1+\varepsilon} 2^{-\overline{\log_2 \alpha + t}}\right) dt \\ &\quad + n P(H_n \leq \overline{\log_2 \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \alpha + A}). \end{aligned}$$

The first term is $o(1)$, the second term is not greater than

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \exp\left(-\frac{(1-\varepsilon)^3}{1+\varepsilon} \cdot 2^{-(t+1)}\right) dt,$$

which, by our choice of ε , is arbitrarily close to $\int_{-\infty}^0 \exp(-2^{-(t+1)}) dt$. For the third term, we use, once again, bound (4) with $l = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \alpha + A$:

$$\begin{aligned} &n \left[\exp(-n\varepsilon^2/2) + \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{2^l} \frac{(n_1 p_i)^2}{2(1+n_1 p_i)}\right) \right] \\ &\leq n \left[\exp(-n\varepsilon^2/2) + \exp\left(-2^l \frac{(n_1/2^l)^2}{2(1+n_1/2^l)}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

(by Jensen's inequality and the convexity of $v^2/(1+v)$)

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq n \left[\exp(-n\varepsilon^2/2) + \exp\left(-\frac{n_1^2}{2(n_1 + 2^{A+1} n \sqrt{\int f^2})}\right) \right] \\ &\quad (\text{since } 2^p < 2^{A+1} \sqrt{\alpha} < 2^{A+1} n \sqrt{\int f^2}) \\ &= o(1). \end{aligned}$$

3. Triesort

Tries can be used to sort n elements as follows:

- (1) Construct the trie sequentially by inserting X_1, \dots, X_n , one element at a time. This takes C_n comparisons.

(2) Traverse the trie in preorder and note all the leaves (X_i 's) as they are visited. This takes time proportional to the number of nodes N_n in the trie.

Since C_n and N_n are appropriate measures of the complexity of this algorithm, we will not bother to analyze other quantities. It is clear that $E(C_n) = E(N_n) = \infty$ for all $n \geq 2$ if $\int f^2(x) dx = \infty$, so we will assume throughout that f is in L_2 .

Theorem 5. *If $\int f^2(x) dx < \infty$, then*

$$E(C_n) = n \log_2 n + o(n)$$

and

$$E(N_n) \leq n(1 + \sqrt{18 \int f^2(x) dx}).$$

Proof. Since

$$C_n = D_{11} + \dots + D_{nn},$$

we have

$$E(C_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(D_{ii}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{int}(\log_2(2i-1)) - 1) = n \log_2 n + o(n)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E(C_n) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\log_2 i + 1 + \left(\gamma + \frac{1}{2n-2} \right) \right) / \ln 2 + 192 \int f^2(x) dx \\ &\leq n \log_2 n + o(n) \end{aligned}$$

where we used inequality (2) of Devroye (1982).

Because an internal node indicates that an interval of the type $[(i-1)/2^l, i/2^l)$ has at least two elements, we have the following equality for $E(N_n)$:

$$E(N_n) = n + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2^l} (1 - (1 - p_{li})^n - n p_{li} (1 - p_{li})^{n-1})$$

where p_{li} is the integral of f over $[(i-1)/2^l, i/2^l)$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - p_{li})^n + n p_{li} (1 - p_{li})^{n-1} &\geq \max(0, 1 - n p_{li} + n p_{li} (1 - n p_{li})) \\ &\geq \max(0, 1 - (n p_{li})^2). \end{aligned}$$

Choose an integer $L \geq 0$, and note that by (2) and the last inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} E(N_n) &\leq n + \sum_{l=0}^L 2^l + \sum_{l=L+1}^{\infty} n^2 \sum_{i=1}^{2^l} p_{li}^2 \\ &\leq n + 2^{L+1} + n^2 \int f^2(x) dx \sum_{l=L+1}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \\ &= n + 2^{L+1} + n^2 \int f^2(x) dx / 2^L. \end{aligned}$$

If we take $L = \text{int}(\log_2 \sqrt{n^2 \int f^2(x) dx / 2})$, then trivial bounding techniques give

$$E(N_n) \leq n + n \sqrt{\int f^2(x) dx} (\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{2}),$$

which was to be shown.

References

1. Devroye, L.: Laws of the iterated logarithm for order statistics of uniform spacings. *Ann. Probability* **9**, 860-867 (1981)
2. Devroye, L.: A note on the average depth of tries. *Computing* **28**, 367-371 (1982)
3. Flajolet, Ph., Steyaert, J.M.: A branching process arising in dynamic hashing, trie searching and polynomial factorization. *Proceedings of the 9th ICALP Colloquium, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Vol. 140, pp. 239-251. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1982
4. Fredkin, E.H.: Trie memory. *Comm. ACM* **3**, 490-500 (1960)
5. Johnson, N.L., Kotz, S.: *Distributions in statistics: Continuous univariate distributions -1*. New York: John Wiley 1970
6. Regnier, M.: On the average height of trees in digital search and dynamic hashing. *Information Processing Lett.* **13**, 64-66 (1982)
7. Yao, A.C.: A note on the analysis of extendible hashing. *Information Processing Lett.* **11**, 84-86 (1980)
8. Wheeden, R.L., Zygmund, A.: *Measure and Integral*. New York: Marcel Dekker 1977

Received March 24, 1982/December 13, 1983