

# A note on the Horton-Strahler number for random trees

Luc Devroye                      Paul Kruszewski \*  
*School of Computer Science, McGill University,*  
*3840 University Street, Montreal, Canada H3A 2A7*

July 8, 1994

## Abstract

We consider the HORTON-STRAHLER number  $S_n$  for random equiprobable binary trees with  $n$  nodes. We give a simple probabilistic proof of the well-known result that  $\mathbf{E}S_n = \log_4 n + O(1)$  and show that for every  $x > 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}\{|S_n - \log_4 n| \geq x\} \leq \frac{D}{4^x},$$

for some constant  $D > 0$ .

*Keywords:* analysis of algorithms; probabilistic analysis; HORTON-STRAHLER number; random binary trees

## Introduction

Originally used to classify river systems [4, 12], the Horton-Strahler number has also been applied to binary trees. Let  $T$  be a binary tree with  $n$  nodes such that each node has at most one left and one right node. For example, with  $n = 3$  there are exactly five different trees. Let  $|T|$  be the number of nodes in  $T$ . Similarly, let  $|u|$  be the number of nodes in the subtree rooted at node  $u$  in  $T$ . For a node  $u$  in the binary tree  $T$ , let the Horton-Strahler number  $S(u)$  be defined as

$$S(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |u| = 0, \\ \max(S(v), S(w)) + I_{[S(v)=S(w)]} & \text{if } |u| \geq 1 \text{ and} \\ & u \text{ has children } v \text{ and } w, \end{cases}$$

where  $I_A$  is the indicator of the event  $A$ . We define  $S(T)$  as the Horton-Strahler number of the root of tree  $T$ . For example, Figure 1 shows a tree with Horton-Strahler number three. At times, we use  $S(u)$  and  $S(T)$  interchangeably, even though  $u$  is a node and  $T$  is a tree.

The two extreme values for the Horton-Strahler number are immediately apparent. At the one extreme is a single chain of  $n$  nodes and Horton-Strahler number one (see Figure 2).

---

\*Research of the authors was supported by NSERC Grant A3456, and by a 1967 NSERC Scholarship.



evaluation is a specialized type of postorder traversal, this can be generalized that the minimum stack size required for a postorder traversal of binary tree  $T$  is  $S(T) + 1$  [3]. In fact, the Horton-Strahler number occurs in almost every field involving some kind of natural branching pattern. More recently, the Horton-Strahler number has been used to draw trees [6, 16]. Viennot [15] provides a thorough overview. See also Vauchassade de Chaumont and Viennot [13, 14], and Viennot, Eyrolles, Janey, and Argues [16].

## The Horton-Strahler number for equiprobable binary trees

Let an equiprobable binary tree (**EBT**) with  $n$  nodes be a binary tree with  $n$  nodes drawn uniformly and at random from all possible binary trees with  $n$  nodes. Let  $S_n$  be the Horton-Strahler number of a random **EBT** with  $n$  nodes so that  $\mathbf{E}S_n$  and  $\mathbf{Var}S_n$  are the corresponding expected value and variance.

The result is well-known. Under the assumption that the corresponding expression trees with  $n$  internal nodes and  $n + 1$  external nodes are equiprobable, the expected minimum number of registers needed to evaluate an arithmetic expression with  $n$  operators is  $\mathbf{E}S_n + 1$ .

Based on exact computations of  $\mathbf{E}S_n$  up to  $n = 100$ , Shreve [11] conjectured that  $\mathbf{E}S_n \sim \log_4 n$ . Flajolet, Raoult and Vuillemin [2], Kemp [5], and Meir, Moon and Pounder [7, 8, 9] independently analysed  $S_n$  via recurrences and generating functions. Flajolet, Raoult and Vuillemin [2] showed that

$$\mathbf{E}S_n = \log_4 n + D(\log_4 n) + o(1)$$

where  $|D(x)| \leq 1$  for  $x > 0$ . Kemp [5] showed that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}S_n = \log_4 n + C + F(n) + O(n^{-0.5+\varepsilon})$$

where  $C = 0.82574\dots$  is a constant and  $F(n)$  is a function with  $F(n) = F(4n)$  for all  $n > 0$  and  $-0.574 < F(n) < -0.492$ . Meir, Moon and Pounder [8] showed that  $S_n$  is very highly concentrated about  $\log_4 n$ . In fact, for any  $s > 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{E} |S_n - \log_4 n|^s = O(1) .$$

The latter result implies that

$$\mathbf{E}S_n \sim \log_4 n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Var}S_n = O(1) .$$

## A Probabilistic Analysis

Almost everything with respect to the Horton-Strahler number for **EBTs** is known. Furthermore by Chebyshev's inequality, the Meir, Moon and Pounder result [8] implies that if  $a_n$  is a sequence tending to infinity, then

$$\mathbf{P}\{|S_n - \log_4 n| > a_n\} \rightarrow 0 ,$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Using probabilistic analysis, we present a stronger result.

Let  $T$  be a binary tree with  $n$  nodes. Let  $r$  be the reduction function from binary trees to binary trees defined recursively as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} r(\square) = () \\ r\left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \square \quad \square \end{array}\right) = \square \\ r\left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \square \quad T \end{array}\right) = r\left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ T \quad \square \end{array}\right) = r(T) \\ r\left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ T_L \quad T_R \end{array}\right) = r(T_L) \circ r(T_R) \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} (1) \\ (2) \\ (3) \\ (4) \end{array}$$

where  $()$  is the empty tree,  $\square$  is an external node,  $\circ$  is an internal node, and  $T, T_L$  and  $T_R$  are binary trees with at least one internal node each.

We note that

$$S(T) = S(r(T)) + 1 .$$

We will show that each reduction reduces the size of the tree by a factor of about four and increases the Horton-Strahler number by one. This observation explains why  $\mathbf{ES}_n$  is close to  $\log_4 n$ .

Let  $T' = r(T)$ . The number of external nodes in  $T'$  is equal to  $l(T)$ , the number of leaves in  $T$ . The number of (internal) nodes in  $T'$  is equal to the number of external nodes in  $T'$  minus one. Thus,  $|T'| = l(T) - 1$ . We note the following fact for reductions on  $\mathbf{EBTs}$ .

**Fact 1.** *If each binary tree  $T$  with  $n$  nodes is equally likely, then given  $|T'| = k < n$ , each tree  $T'$  is equally likely.*

**Proof.** For any tree  $T'$ , we examine the “expansion” of  $T'$  back to  $T$  so that  $|T| = n$  and  $r(T) = T'$ . The internal nodes of  $T'$  result from Case 4 of  $r$ . The external nodes of  $T'$  result from Case 2. Therefore, in any “expansion” each external node in  $T'$  must expand to a parent node of two external nodes (i.e.  $\square \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \square \quad \square \end{array}$ ). The remaining  $n - (k + k + 1)$  internal nodes of  $T$  result from Case 3. These pairs of single-parents with only-children (external nodes)  $\left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \square \quad \square \end{array}\right)$  or  $\left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \square \quad \square \end{array}\right)$  can be re-inserted anywhere in the expansion except below the leaves of  $T$ . Each combination of insertions results in a different tree  $T$ . As this argument is identical for all  $T'$ , we note that for all  $T'$  with  $k$  nodes there is an equal number of expansions to trees with  $n$  nodes. ■

Before we use reductions to derive the upper and lower converging bounds for  $\mathbf{ES}_n$ , we need the mean and variance of  $L_n$ , the number of leaves in a random binary tree. By mimicking the argument in [10] for the average internal path length of a random equiprobable binary tree, we set up the following double generating function

$$Q(w, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k \geq 0} Q_{nk} w^n z^k ,$$

where  $Q_{nk}$  is the number of trees with  $n$  nodes and  $k$  leaves. This, in turn, may be expressed equivalently as

$$Q(w, z) = \sum_{\text{all trees } T} w^{|T|} z^{l(z)} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4w(wz - w + 1)}}{2w}.$$

From this, it is straightforward to derive  $\mathbf{E} L_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2(2n-1)} \sim \frac{n}{4}$  and  $\mathbf{Var} L_n = \frac{n(n+1)(n^2-3n+2)}{2(2n-1)^2(2n-3)} \leq \frac{n}{8}$ , for  $n \geq 3$  [6, 17].

We now can start with the upper bound.

**Theorem 1.** *For a random EBT with  $n$  nodes and for every  $x > 0$ ,*

$$\mathbf{P}\{S_n > \lceil \log_4 n + x \rceil\} \leq \frac{1}{4^x}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $T_0$  be a random EBT with  $n$  nodes. Let  $T_1 = r(T_0)$ , let  $T_2 = r(T_1)$ , et cetera. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} |T_{k+1}| &= \mathbf{E} \left\{ (l(T_k) - 1) I_{\{|T_k| \geq 1\}} \right\} \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left\{ \left( \frac{|T_k|(|T_k| + 1)}{2(2|T_k| - 1)} - 1 \right) I_{\{|T_k| \geq 1\}} \right\} \quad (\text{by [6]}) \\ &\leq \mathbf{E} \left\{ \frac{|T_k|}{4} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by this inequality and Fact 1,  $\mathbf{E} \{|T_k|\} \leq \frac{\mathbf{E}|T_0|}{4^k} = \frac{n}{4^k}$ . So by Markov's inequality,  $\mathbf{P}\{|T_k| \geq 1\} \leq \mathbf{E}|T_k| \leq \frac{n}{4^k}$ . Thus since  $[S_n - k > 0] = [|T_k| > 0]$ , we have  $\mathbf{P}\{S_n > k\} = \mathbf{P}\{|T_k| \geq 1\}$ . Consequently, if  $k = \lceil \log_4 n + x \rceil$  then  $\mathbf{P}\{S_n > k\} \leq \frac{n}{4^k} \leq \frac{1}{4^x}$ . ■

**Theorem 2.** *For a random EBT with  $n$  nodes and for every  $x \geq 1$ ,*

$$\mathbf{P}\{S_n < \lfloor \log_4 n - x \rfloor\} \leq \frac{C}{4^x},$$

where  $C > 0$  is a suitable constant.

**Proof.** Let  $T_0, T_1, T_2, \dots$  be a sequence of random binary trees obtained by successive reductions and  $|T_0| = n$ . Then by Fact 1 and the bound on the variance of the leaves,  $\mathbf{Var} \{|T_{k+1}| | T_k\} \leq c|T_k|$ , where  $c = 1/8$ . Also,  $\mathbf{E} \{|T_{k+1}| | T_k\} \leq \frac{|T_k|}{4}$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbf{Var}|T_{k+1}| \leq \mathbf{E} \{c|T_k|\} + \mathbf{Var} \left\{ \frac{|T_k|}{4} \right\} \leq \frac{cn}{4^k} + \frac{1}{16} \mathbf{Var}|T_k|.$$

Iterating the preceding inequality, we have

$$\mathbf{Var}|T_{k+1}| \leq \frac{cn}{4^k} + \frac{1}{16} \left( \frac{cn}{4^{k-1}} + \frac{1}{16} \mathbf{Var}|T_{k-1}| \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{cn}{4^k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{16^2} \mathbf{Var}|T_{k-1}| \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\leq \frac{cn}{4^k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{4^k}\right) + \frac{1}{16^{k+1}} \mathbf{Var}|T_0| \\
&= \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{cn}{4^k} \quad (\text{since } \mathbf{Var}|T_0| = 0) .
\end{aligned}$$

We note by inspection  $\mathbf{E}|T_{k+1}| \geq \frac{\mathbf{E}|T_k|}{4} - 1$ . Iterating this, we obtain

$$\mathbf{E}|T_{k+1}| \geq \frac{\mathbf{E}|T_0|}{4^{k+1}} - 1 - \frac{1}{4} - \cdots - \frac{1}{4^k} \geq \frac{n}{4^{k+1}} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^j} = \frac{n}{4^{k+1}} - \frac{4}{3} .$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}\{S_n \leq k\} &= \mathbf{P}\{|T_k| = 0\} \\
&= \mathbf{P}\{|T_k| - \mathbf{E}|T_k| \leq -\mathbf{E}|T_k|\} \\
&\leq \frac{\mathbf{Var}|T_k|}{\mathbf{E}^2|T_k|} \quad (\text{by Chebyshev's inequality}) \\
&\leq \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{cn}{4^k} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(\frac{n}{4^k} - \frac{4}{3}\right)^2} .
\end{aligned}$$

If  $k = \lfloor \log_4 n - x \rfloor$  then  $\mathbf{P}\{S_n \leq k\} \leq \frac{c4^{x+1}}{(4^{x-1} - \frac{4}{3})^2} \leq \frac{8c}{9 \cdot 4^x} = \frac{1}{9 \cdot 4^x}$  when  $x \geq 2$ . ■

We combine the upper and lower bounds.

**Theorem 3.** *For a random EBT with  $n$  nodes and for every  $x > 0$*

$$\mathbf{P}\{|S_n - \log_4 n| \geq x\} \leq \frac{D}{4^x} ,$$

for some constant  $D > 0$ .

**Proof.** This follows directly from Theorems 1 and 2. ■

From this theorem, we have the following corollaries.

**Corollary 1.** *For a random EBT with  $n$  nodes and for all  $s > 0$ ,*

$$\mathbf{E}\{|S_n - \log_4 n|^s\} = O(1) .$$

**Corollary 2.** *For a random EBT with  $n$  nodes and for all  $\lambda \in (0, \log 4)$ ,*

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{e^{\lambda|S_n - \log_4 n|}\right\} < \infty .$$

Furthermore, Corollary 1 implies that  $\mathbf{Var}S_n = O(1)$ . In conclusion, we remark that the results from Theorems 1, 2 and 3, and Corollaries 1 and 2 are all non-asymptotic in nature. That is, the results hold for *all*  $n$ . Finally, we see that while the trivial upper bound  $S_n \leq \log_2 n + 1$  assumed that *every* node in the tree successfully contributed to the Horton-Strahler number, Theorem 3 implies that in **EBTs** only approximately half the nodes actually do contribute.

Generalizations of the present results to suitably defined  $m$ -ary Horton-Strahler numbers for random  $m$ -ary trees would be interesting. For tree-drawing purposes, it would also be of interest to introduce new classes of random trees indexed by a real number  $c \in (0, 1]$ , such that  $\mathbf{E}S_n \sim c \log_2 n$ . The **EBT** just corresponds to  $c = 1/2$ .

## Acknowledgements

We thank the referees for their useful comments.

## References

- [1] A. P. Ershov. On programming of arithmetic operations. *Communications of the ACM* 1 (1958), 3–6.
- [2] P. Flajolet, J. C. Raoult, and J. Vuillemin. The number of registers required for evaluating arithmetic expressions. *Theoretical Computer Science* 9 (1979), 99–125.
- [3] J. Françon. Sur le nombre de registres nécessaires à l'évaluation d'une expression arithmétique. *Theoretical Informatics* 18 (1984), 355–364.
- [4] R. E. Horton. Erosional development of streams and their drainage basins; hydro-physical approach to quantitative morphology. *Bulletin of the Geological Society of America* 56 (1945), 275–370.
- [5] R. Kemp. The average number of registers needed to evaluate a binary tree optimally. *Acta Informatica* 11 (1979), 363–372.
- [6] P. Kruszewski. A probabilistic exploration of the Horton-Strahler number for random binary trees. Master's thesis, School of Computer Science, McGill University, 1993.
- [7] A. Meir and J. W. Moon. Stream lengths in random channel networks. *Congressus Numerantium* 33 (1980), 25–33.
- [8] A. Meir, J. W. Moon, and J. R. Pounder. On the order of random channel networks. *SIAM Journal of Algebraic and Discrete Methods* 1 (1980), 25–33.
- [9] J. W. Moon. On Horton's law for random channel networks. *Annals of Discrete Mathematics* 8 (1980), 117–121.

- [10] R. Sedgewick. Part III: Mathematical analysis of combinatorial algorithms. In *Probability Theory and Computer Science*, G. Louchard and G. Latouche, Eds. Academic Press, London, 1983, pp. 145–149.
- [11] R. L. Shreve. Statistical law of stream numbers. *Bulletin of the Geological Society of America* 74 (1966), 17–37.
- [12] A. N. Strahler. Hypsometric (area-altitude) analysis of erosional topology. *Bulletin of the Geological Society of America* 63 (1952), 1117–1142.
- [13] M. Vauchassade de Chaumont. *Nombre de Strahler des arbres, langages algébriques et dénombrement des structures secondaires en biologie moléculaire Thèse 3ème cycle*. PhD thesis, Université de Bordeaux 1, 1985.
- [14] M. Vauchassade de Chaumont and X. G. Viennot. Enumeration of RNAs secondary structures by complexity. *Mathematics in Medicine and Biology, Lecture Notes in Biomathematics* 57 (1985), 360–365.
- [15] X. G. Viennot. Trees everywhere. In *Proceedings of the 15th Colloquium on Trees in Algebra and Programming, Copenhagen, Denmark, May 15-18, 1990, Lecture Notes in Computer Science* (Berlin, 1990), A. Arnold, Ed., vol. 431, Springer-Verlag, pp. 18–41.
- [16] X. G. Viennot, G. Eyrolles, N. Janey, and D. Argues. Combinatorial analysis of ramified patterns and computer imagery of trees. In *Proceedings of SIGGRAPH'89, Computer Graphics* (1989), vol. 23, pp. 31–40.
- [17] X. Wang and E. Waymire. Central limit theorems for horton ratios. Technical report, Department of Mathematics, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331, 1989.